



## **Limited Visual Dam Safety Inspection Summary Report**

**MA-084**

**Reservoir 74**

**Maui, Hawaii**

**Prepared by:**

**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
HONOLULU ENGINEER DISTRICT**

**STATE OF HAWAII  
DEPARTMENT OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES**

**May 2006**

Limited Visual Dam Safety Inspection Conducted on: 04 April 2006

**I. Purpose**

Due to disaster occurrences of periodic heavy rains and flooding, which has caused extensive damage to property and loss of lives, the Governor has issued a State of Emergency Proclamation extending from February 20, 2006 to April 9, 2006. In light of the tragic failure of the Kaloko dam on Kauai and the continued forecast of heavy rains, emergency inspections of all regulated dams in all counties are being undertaken.

These inspections are for the purpose of determining if any of the regulated dams and reservoirs in the City and County of Honolulu, Maui County or Hawaii County, are suspect for immediate concern to the downstream area under the prolonged conditions of heavy rain showers.

**II. Authority**

Inspections are authorized under the Hawaii Dam Safety Act of 1987, Chapter 179D "Dams and Reservoirs" of Hawaii Revised Statutes, and Title 13, Subtitle 7, Chapter 190, "Dams and Reservoirs" of the Hawaii Administrative Rules.

These inspections are being conducted under joint agreements of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), the U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), and the State of Hawaii. The Memorandum of Agreement with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers is entered into pursuant to 10 U.S.C. § 3036(d)(2), and the Intergovernmental Cooperation Act (31 U.S.C. §6505), and established via support agreement number DL-06-01.

**III. Scope**

Visual inspection will be made on parts of the embankment and appurtenant works readily available and visible for inspection by the inspection team at the time of the inspection. Such parts and appurtenant works would include the upstream slope, crest, downstream slope, abutments and toes, outlet works, and spillway.

On the date of this limited visual inspection, there may appear to be no immediate threat to the safety of the dam, however no assurance can be made regarding the dam's condition after this date. Subsequent adverse weather and other factors may affect the dam's condition.

**IV. Limitations of Findings and Recommendations**

The inspection is based only on visible features/areas of the dam on the day of inspection. The inspection does not entail detailed stability, hydrologic, hydraulic, or seismic investigations. This inspection is not a formal phase I or phase II dam safety inspection and does not include a review or evaluation from each specialist of an inspection team, such as a geologists, civil, geotechnical, structural, or hydraulics engineer. The owner should verify the findings of this report and take corrective actions. The owner may submit to the State alternative corrective actions that are certified by a licensed professional engineer in the State of Hawaii experienced in the design and construction of dams. This inspection does not relieve the owner/operator from their responsibility to conduct routine inspections, maintenance, repairs, modifications, monitoring, documentation, and/or investigative studies.

**V. Inspection Team**Organization

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Name /TitleHenri Mulder, P.E.  
Civil Engineer

State of Hawaii, Dept. of Land and Natural Resources

Hiram Young

**VI. Owner's Representatives Present**

Hawaiian Commercial and Sugar Company

Randall Moore  
Lloyd Taguchi**VII. Summary Report Team**Organization

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

NameDerek Chow  
Bill Empson

State of Hawaii, Dept. of Land and Natural Resources

Denise Manuel  
Edwin Matsuda**VIII. Dam Type**

The dam appeared to be an earthen embankment dam.

## IX. Dam Classification

The current hazard classification of this dam is: High

Hazard Potential Classification based on the following:

Category	Loss of Life	Economic Loss
Low	None Expected	Minimal (undeveloped to occasional structures or agriculture)
Significant	Few (No Urban development and no more than a small number of inhabitable structures)	Appreciable (Notable agriculture, industry or structures)
High	More than a few	Extensive community, industry or agriculture.

Based on inventoried storage and height data, the size classification of the dam is: Most likely small but insufficient information is available to inspectors to make a determination.

Size Classification based on the following:

Category	Storage (Acre-Feet)	Height (feet)
Small	< 1000	< 40
Intermediate	> 1000 and < 50,000	> 40 and < 100
Large	> 50,000	> 100

## X. Summary of Inspection

Condition Rating Criteria: The conditional terms in this report are used to generally describe the conditions below. Inspections, monitoring, and additional investigations are considered to be incidental to all condition ratings.

Satisfactory	Expected to fulfill intended function.
Fair	Expected to fulfill intended function, but maintenance is recommended.
Poor	May not fulfill intended function; maintenance or repairs are necessary.
Unsatisfactory	Is not expected to fulfill intended function; repair, replacement, or modification is necessary.
Unknown	Not visible, not accessible, not inspected, or unable to determine the condition rating based on the observation taken.

**A. General appearance:**

The dam consists of an earth fill embankment. The dam is approximately 25 feet tall and 985 feet long. The dam is feed by an irrigation ditch and an overflow from Reservoir 73. Reservoir 74 uses the spillway on Reservoir 73 as the emergency spillway. The purpose of the reservoir is irrigation.

Findings and Corrective Actions:

- a. The Owner shall maintain documentations including Construction plans, specifications, improvements, modifications, Operations and Maintenance Manuals and routine inspection logs for this dam facility.
- b. An Emergency Action Plan (EAP) is required for High Hazard Dams. Submit an updated EAP for this facility.
- c. Submit narrative and additional information detailing the improvements, modifications, and/or alterations at the dam site, unless covered by approved dam permit.
- d. Routine inspection logs were not inspected.
- e. Access to site appears to be satisfactory.
- f. Submit current Operations and Maintenance Manual or Procedures for this dam / reservoir facility.
- g. Emergency Alarms / Monitors: There were no alarms or monitors observed on this reservoir.
- h. Power / Communication: There were no communication systems observed on this reservoir.

**B. Access / Security:**

Access to the dam was accomplished via a private roadway.  
A four-wheel drive vehicle is required.

Security issues. Access to the dam is unrestricted.

**C. Intake Works:**

The reservoir has 1 intake from an irrigation ditch.  
Also, Reservoir 74 is connected to Reservoir 73 by a ditch. Reservoir 74 serves as an overflow for Reservoir 73.

Findings and Corrective Actions:

- a. The intake works were not tested.
- b. The intake works appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time

**D. Reservoir:**

The reservoir was empty at the time of inspection.

Reservoir 74 is used as an overflow for Reservoir 73. Reservoir 74 is kept 1 to 2 feet lower than Reservoir 73.

The typical operation of the reservoir is kept empty.

A staff gage was located on outlet works.

Findings and Corrective Actions:

- a. The reservoir was not inspected.

**E. Upstream Slope: (Fair)**

The upstream slope was 1 on 2.

There is no slope protection on the upstream slope.

Portions of the slope had tall grass (12" to 18"), which made inspection difficult.

Findings and Corrective Actions:

- a. The upstream slope appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
- b. The upstream slope was not visible due to high grass and bush vegetation. Clear high vegetation and maintain low to enable easy visual inspection.

**F. Crest: (Satisfactory)**

The dam crest was approximately 45 feet wide.

Access on the crest is by a 10-foot wide dirt road.

Findings and Corrective Actions:

- a. The dam crest appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time.

**G. Downstream Slope: (Fair)**

The downstream slope was approximately 1 on 1 to 1 on 1.5.

Access was a walkway to outlet works.

There was no slope protection observed at the time of inspection.

Erosion, cracks and sinkholes were not visible, because of the dense vegetation at the time of inspection.

Visual inspection was difficult due to the dense vegetation.

There was no seepage observed at the time of inspection.

Findings and Corrective Actions:

- a. The downstream slope appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
- b. The downstream slope was not visible due to high grass and bush vegetation. Clear high vegetation and maintain low to enable easy visual inspection.
- c. Tree(s) were observed on the downstream slope. Trees have been identified as the probable cause of piping failures, and can possibly cause severe damage to the embankment if they are uprooted during high winds. Corrective action is

required to remove the tree hazards from the dam. Acceptable remedies include removal of the tree and its root structure down to a 2" diameter and reconstructing the damaged embankment section. All repair work shall be accomplished as per the requirements of a licensed geotechnical or structural engineer. Routinely monitor the damaged area for signs of settlement and seepage.

- d. The slope was very steep, around a 1 to 1 slope; further study is required to verify slope stability.

**H. Abutments / Toe: (Fair)**

There was dense vegetation (brush, trees, tall grass) that made inspection of the toe area difficult.

Findings and Corrective Actions:

- a. The abutments/toe appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
- b. The abutment/toe area was not visible due to high grass and bush vegetation. Clear high vegetation and maintain low to enable easy visual inspection.
- c. Tree(s) were observed along the abutment/toe. Trees have been identified as the probable cause of piping failures, and can possibly cause severe damage to the embankment if they are uprooted during high winds. Corrective action is required to remove the tree hazards from the dam. Acceptable remedies include removal of the tree and its root structure down to a 2" diameter and reconstructing the damaged embankment section. All repair work shall be accomplished as per the requirements of a licensed geotechnical or structural engineer. Routinely monitor the damaged area for signs of settlement and seepage.

**I. Outlet Works: (Satisfactory)**

The outlet consists of a 42" diameter riveted steel pipe.

The control of the outlet is with a valve that is on the upstream side.

Findings and Corrective Actions:

- a. The side gate was tested by the dam operator.
- b. The outlet works appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time.

**J. Spillway: (Fair)**

This spillway is consisted of a channel that connects to Reservoir 73.

Reservoir 74 uses the spillway on Reservoir 73.

Brush, tall grass, and debris in spillway channel between Reservoir 73 and 74.

Findings and Corrective Actions:

- a. The Spillway appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
- b. The spillway approach was blocked. Clear channel between Reservoir 73 and 74.

**K. Down Stream Channel: (Unknown)**

Downstream channel consists of a riveted steel pipe.

Findings and Corrective Actions:

- a. The downstream channel was not inspected.

**XI. Additional Comments:**

There is no immediate threat to the safety of the dam.

Short-term Recommendation: Clear vegetation and debris out of the spillway channel between Reservoir 73 and 74.

Long-term Recommendation:

- 1) The upstream and downstream slopes should be clear and visible for inspection. Underbrush and trees should be removed and grasses kept short. Large trees are growing on the slopes. Large trees and the stumps should be removed from the embankment.
- 2) The downstream slope of the dam is very steep (IV: 1 to 1.5H). Stability of the slope should be further evaluated.



## PHOTOGRAPHS

MA-084 Maui Reservoir 74

## MA-084 Maui Reservoir 74



084 Downstream slope of the dam. Note the dense vegetation and trees on the slope.



## MA-084 Maui Reservoir 74



084 View of the empty reservoir. Outlet works in lower right corner.

## MA-084 Maui Reservoir 74



084 Upstream slope of the dam. Note tall grass on the slope.

## **FIELD INSPECTION SHEETS**



Dam ID: MA-0084

RESERVOIR 74

## Vulnerability Index:

Extreme	High	Moderate	Low
1	2	3	4

Inspection No:

Date: 4/4/2006

**STATE OF HAWAII - DLNR**  
**DAM SAFETY INSPECTION SHEET**

Inspection Type: Visual Dam Safety Inspection

## Persons Present

## Affiliation

## Phone Number

HEARI MULDER

US Army Corps of Engineers

RANDALL MOORE

HC&amp;S

LLOYD TAGUCHI

HC&amp;S

HIPAM YOUNG

DLNR

## Weather Condition:

☐ Rain previous day☐ Rainy☐ Drizzle / Mist☒ Cloudy/Overcast☐ Partly Cloudy☐ Sunny☒ Dry

Comments:

## 1. General: (Information currently on file, update as required)

Dam/Res. Name RESERVOIR 74

Owner Hawaiian Commercial &amp; Sugar Company, a division of Alexander &amp; Baldwin, Inc.

(C010)

Owner Contact Mr. Randall Moore

Owner Ph. \_\_\_\_\_

Lessee N/A

Lessee Ph. \_\_\_\_\_

O &amp; M Contractor HC&amp;S

O &amp; M Ph. \_\_\_\_\_

Nearest Town KAHULUI

Latitude 20.8733° (decimal)

County MAUI

Longitude 156.4983° (decimal)

Tax Map Key(s) (2)3-8-046:020

Dam Status A: \_\_\_\_\_

Hazard Potential H: \_\_\_\_\_

Dam Size \_\_\_\_\_

Year Completed 1916

Dam Length 985 ft.

Dam Height 25 ft.

Normal Storage 106 ac.ft.

Max. Storage 248 ac.ft.

Max. Surface Area \_\_\_\_\_ ac.

Drainage Area 1.11 mi.

Spillway Type \_\_\_\_\_

Max. Spillway Q 2000 cfs

Owner owns land under dam facility: \_\_\_\_\_

Emergency Action Plan on file with the Department: NO

Reports on file with the Department: Aug 1981 = Army Corps of Engineers, Initial Dam Safety Inspection / Survey (2)

**2. Questions for Owner's Rep.:**

	Yes	No	Unknown	Comments
Construction Plans Available	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Site / Facility Map	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Operation & Maintenance Manual	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Emergency Action Plan	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Modifications / Improvements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Periodically replace the outlet pipes
Conduct Routine Inspections	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Conduct Routine Maintenance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Vehicle access to site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not accessible <input type="checkbox"/> With Standard car <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Requires 4-Wheel Drive
Access during heavy rains	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not accessible <input type="checkbox"/> With Standard car <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Requires 4-Wheel Drive
Access when spillway is flowing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Not accessible <input type="checkbox"/> With Standard car <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Requires 4-Wheel Drive
Other Studies Conducted	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Phase I <input type="checkbox"/> Phase II <input type="checkbox"/> Hydraulics <input type="checkbox"/> Stability <input type="checkbox"/> Hazard <input type="checkbox"/> Seismic <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____
Incident History	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Breached <input type="checkbox"/> Overtop <input type="checkbox"/> Slide <input type="checkbox"/> Down stream Flooding <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____
Reservoir's Current Use	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Irrigation <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation <input type="checkbox"/> Flood Control <input type="checkbox"/> Drinking Water <input type="checkbox"/> Power Generation <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

**Findings and Corrective Actions:**

- ☒ a. The Owner shall maintain documentations including Construction plans, specifications, improvements, modifications, Operations and Maintenance Manuals and routine inspection logs for this dam facility.
- ☐ b. An Emergency Action Plan (EAP) is on file with the department, submit any updates as applicable.
- ☒ c. An EAP is required for High Hazard Dams. Submit an updated EAP for this facility.
- ☐ d. An EAP is recommended for all dams regardless of hazard class. Submit EAP if developed for the facility.
- ☒ e. Submit narrative and additional information detailing the improvements, modifications, and/or alterations at the dam site, unless covered by approved dam permit.
- ☒ f. Routine inspection logs were not inspected.
- ☐ g. Dam owners shall provide for routine inspection of the dam.
- ☐ h. The dam did not appear to be maintained on a regular basis.
- ☒ i. Access to site appears to be satisfactory.
- ☐ j. There is no vehicular access to the dam site. Operational and emergency plans need to reflect this deficiency or access provided.
- ☐ k. Access to dam is questionable during severe weather conditions and/or spillway overflows. Operational plans and emergency plans need to reflect this deficiency or access provided.
- ☐ l. Provide a detailed narrative of the incident, responses taken, and any damages incurred. Dam owners are required to promptly advise the department of any sudden or unprecedented flood or unusual or alarming circumstance or occurrences which may adversely affect the dam or reservoir.
- ☒ m. Submit current Operations and Maintenance Manual or Procedures for this dam / reservoir facility.
- ☐ n. Submit Site or Facility Map of this Dam which identifies the location of major features including outlet works controls and conduits.
- ☐ o. \_\_\_\_\_

**Additional Requirements:**

The following investigative study(s) are:

Required Recommended

- |                          |                          |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Phase I Study  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Phase II Study (Including <input type="checkbox"/> Seepage <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrology/Hydraulics <input type="checkbox"/> EAP) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Hydrology and Hydraulics (including Probable Maximum Flood and spillway capacity)  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Stability Analysis   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Seismic Analysis   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Hazard Classification  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Other: _____   |



Dam ID: MA-0084

RESERVOIR 74

Inspection No: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 4/4/2006

**Physical Dam Features:** (Check All Applicable. Provide description of Items Observed and/or Take Photos. Indicate photo # in description.)**3. Reservoir:**Level during inspection Empty ft per \_\_\_\_\_ (gage / other)Normal Operating Level/Range Empty ft per \_\_\_\_\_ (gage / other)Description: Reservoir kept 1' to 2' lower than Reservoir 73.Typical Operation ☐ Spillway always flowing ☐ Kept within normal range ☒ Kept Empty ☐ Drained Daily ☐ Only filled by Storms  
☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_Sinkhole in Res.: ☐ # Observed: \_\_\_\_\_ Size: \_\_\_\_\_ by \_\_\_\_\_ in. Deep ☐ Not Visible ☒ None Observed

Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Staff Gage: Description: Staff gage located on outlet worksReservoir 74 used as  
an overflow for  
Reservoir 73.**Findings:**

- ☒ a. The reservoir was not inspected.
- ☐ b. The reservoir appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time.
- ☐ c. The reservoir appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
- ☐ d. The reservoir appeared to be in unsatisfactory condition, urgent corrective action is required.

**Corrective Actions:**

- ☐ e. The staff gage needs maintenance and/or repair. Description: \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ f. A staff gage was not observed at the reservoir. Provide some method of quantifying the water level within the reservoir.
- ☐ g. A sinkhole was observed in the upstream reservoir. Conduct additional investigations and monitoring to identify the cause, risk and appropriate action.
- ☐ h. \_\_\_\_\_

**4. Intake Works Description:**☐ Number of Intakes 1☐ Intake Culvert / PipeSize: \_\_\_\_\_ in. ☐ DIP ☐ Corrugated Metal ☐ PVC ☐ HDPE ☐ Concrete ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_Control: ☐ Gate ☐ Valve ☐ Flow can either be Shut off or BypassedFrom: ☐ Stream Diversion ☐ Pump ☐ Reservoir ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_☒ Ditch / Flume

Dimension: \_\_\_\_\_ (Size x Depth) Shape \_\_\_\_\_

Surface: ☐ Dirt ☐ Wood ☐ Concrete ☐ Lined w/ \_\_\_\_\_Control: ☐ Gate ☐ Valve ☐ Flow can either be Shut off or BypassedFrom: ☐ Stream Diversion ☐ Pump ☐ Reservoir ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_**Findings:**

- ☐ a. The intake works were not inspected.
- ☒ b. The intake works were not tested.
- ☒ c. The intake works appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time.
- ☐ d. The intake works appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
- ☐ e. The intake works appeared to be in unsatisfactory condition, urgent corrective action is required.

**Corrective Actions:**

- ☐ f. The intake works needs maintenance and/or repair. Description: \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ g. \_\_\_\_\_

**5. Upstream Slope:**(Typical Slope  $\pm$  1 : 2 )Slope Protection: ☒ None ☐ Dumped Rock ☐ Fitted Rip Rap ☐ Grouted Rip Rap ☐ Liner \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_☐ Defect in Protection: Description: \_\_\_\_\_Erosion: ☐ Loose soil w/ little vegetation ☐ Rut (<6") ☐ Gully (>6" deep) ☒ Not Visible ☐ None Observed

Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Cracks: ☐ Parallel with crest ☐ Perpendicular to crest ☐ Slide visible ☒ Not Visible ☐ None Observed

Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Sinkholes: ☐ # Observed: \_\_\_\_\_ Size: \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ Depth ☒ Not Visible ☐ None Observed

Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Vegetation: ☐ None ☐ Low Ground Cover ☒ Bushes or Tall Grass ☐ Trees # \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ <6" ☐ >6" & <20" ☐ >20"

Description: Portions of the slope had tall grass (12" h/10") which made inspection difficult.

**Findings:**

- ☐ a. The upstream slope was not inspected.
- ☐ b. The upstream slope appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time.
- ☒ c. The upstream slope appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
- ☐ d. The upstream slope appeared to be in unsatisfactory condition and not expected to fulfill its intended function. Urgent corrective action is required.

**Corrective Actions:**

- ☐ e. Slope protection needs maintenance or repair. Description: \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ f. Rut and/or Gully erosion was observed on the slope, which requires maintenance and/or repair. Description: \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ g. A crack was observed on the slope, which requires further investigation to determine the underlining cause. Monitor the area and/or repair as required.
- ☐ h. A sinkhole was observed on the slope, which requires further investigation to determine the underlining cause. Repair and monitor the area.
- ☒ i. The upstream slope was not visible due to high grass and bush vegetation. Clear high vegetation and maintain low to enable easy visual inspection.
- ☐ j. Tree(s) were observed on the dam embankment. Trees have been identified as the probably cause of piping failures, and can possibly cause sever damage to the embankment if they are uprooted during a high winds. Corrective action is required to remove the tree hazards from the dam. Acceptable remedies include removal of the tree and its root structure down to a 2" diameter and reconstructing the damaged embankment section. All repair work shall be accomplished as per the requirements of licensed geotechnical or structural engineer. Routinely monitor the damaged area for signs of settlement and seepage.
- ☐ k. \_\_\_\_\_

Dam ID: MA-0084

RESERVOIR 74

Inspection No: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 4/4/2000

**6. Crest:**

Approximate Crest Width: 45'

Access:

☐ None ☐ Walking Path

☒ Roadway, Surface / Width / Usage: 10' wide dirt road

Erosion:

☐ Loose soil w/ little vegetation ☐ Rut (<6") ☐ Gully (>6" deep) ☐ Not Visible

☒ None Observed

Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Cracks:

☐ Parallel with crest ☐ Perpendicular to crest ☐ Slide visible ☐ Not Visible

☒ None Observed

Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Sinkholes:

☐ \_\_\_\_\_ in. Wide x \_\_\_\_\_ in. Long x \_\_\_\_\_ in. Deep ☐ Not Visible

☒ None Observed

Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Vegetation:

☒ None ☐ Low Ground Cover ☐ Bushes or Tall Grass ☐ Trees # \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ <6" ☐ >6" & <20" ☐ >20"

Description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Findings:**

- ☐ a. The dam crest was not inspected.
- ☒ b. The dam crest appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time.
- ☐ c. The dam crest appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
- ☐ d. The dam crest appeared to be in unsatisfactory condition and not expected to fulfill its intended function. Urgent corrective action is required.

**Corrective Actions:**

- ☐ e. Access along the crest was satisfactory.
- ☐ f. Access along the crest was not possible. Description: \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ g. Rut and/or Gully erosion was observed on the crest, which requires maintenance and/or repair. Description: \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ h. A crack was observed on the crest, which requires further investigation to determine the underlining cause. Monitor the area and/or repair as required.
- ☐ i. A sinkhole was observed on the crest, which requires further investigation to determine the underlining cause. Repair and monitor the area.
- ☐ j. Portions of the crest were not visible due to high grass and bush vegetation. Clear high vegetation and maintain low to enable easy visual inspection.
- ☐ k. Tree(s) were observed along the dam crest. Trees have been identified as the probably cause of piping failures, and can possibly cause sever damage to the embankment if they are uprooted during a high winds. Corrective action is required to remove the tree hazards from the dam. Acceptable remedies include removal of the tree and its root structure down to a 2" diameter and reconstructing the damaged embankment section. All repair work shall be accomplished as per the requirements of licensed geotechnical or structural engineer. Routinely monitor the damaged area for signs of settlement and seepage.
- ☐ l. \_\_\_\_\_

## 7. Downstream Slope:

(Typical Slope  $\pm$  1 : 1.4/1.5)Access: ☐ lower roadway along toe ☐ roadway to outlet works ☒ walkway to outlet works ☐ None ObservedSlope Protection: ☒ None ☐ Dumped Rock ☐ Rip Rap ☐ Grouted Rip Rap ☐ ConcreteErosion: ☐ Loose soil w/ little vegetation ☐ Rut (<6") ☐ Gully (>6" deep) ☒ Not Visible ☐ None Observed

Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Cracks: ☐ Parallel with crest ☐ Perpendicular to crest ☐ Slide visible ☒ Not Visible ☐ None Observed

Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Sinkholes: ☐ \_\_\_\_\_ in. Wide x \_\_\_\_\_ in. Long x \_\_\_\_\_ in. Deep ☒ Not Visible ☐ None Observed

Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Vegetation: ☐ None ☐ Low Ground Cover ☒ Bushes or Tall Grass ☒ Trees # few ☒ <6" ☒ >6" & <20" ☐ >20"

Description: Dense vegetation made inspection difficult

Seepage: Seep Spot Number 1

☐ Green Vegetation ☐ Wet or Muddy Ground ☐ Ponding Water ☐ Not Visible ☒ None Observed☐ Flowing, Description: \_\_\_\_\_Water Clarity: ☐ Clear ☐ Some particles ☐ Muddy ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Seep Spot Number 2

☐ Green Vegetation ☐ Wet or Muddy Ground ☐ Ponding Water ☐ Not Visible ☐ None Observed☐ Flowing, Description: \_\_\_\_\_Water Clarity: ☐ Clear ☐ Some particles ☐ Muddy ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Description: \_\_\_\_\_

## Findings:

- ☐ a. The downstream slope was not inspected.
- ☐ b. The downstream slope appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time.
- ☒ c. The downstream slope appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
- ☐ d. The downstream slope appeared to be in unsatisfactory condition and not expected to fulfill its intended function. Urgent corrective action is required.

## Corrective Actions:

- ☐ e. Slope protection needs maintenance or repair. Description: \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ f. Rut and/or Gully erosion was observed on the slope, which requires maintenance and/or repair. Description: \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ g. A crack was observed on the slope, which requires further investigation to determine the underlining cause. Monitor the area and/or repair as required.
- ☐ h. A sinkhole was observed on the slope, which requires further investigation to determine the underlining cause. Repair and monitor the area.
- ☒ i. The down stream slope was not visible due to high grass and bush vegetation. Clear high vegetation and maintain low to enable easy visual inspection.
- ☒ j. Tree(s) were observed on the downstream slope. Trees have been identified as the probably cause of piping failures, and can possibly cause sever damage to the embankment if they are uprooted during a high winds. Corrective action is required to remove the tree hazards from the dam. Acceptable remedies include removal of the tree and its root structure down to a 2" diameter and reconstructing the damaged embankment section. All repair work shall be accomplished as per the requirements of licensed geotechnical or structural engineer. Routinely monitor the damaged area for signs of settlement and seepage.
- ☐ h. Seepage/Ponding water was observed. Monitor and conduct further investigation to locate the source of water and extent of any possible hazardous or developing condition.
- ☐ i. Seepage was observed flowing and particles were observed to be removed by the flow. Take immediate action to stop the loss of soil from the embankment. Conduct further investigation to determine the underlining cause and take corrective action. Monitor the area.
- ☒ j. The slope was very steep, around a 1 to 1 slope, further study is required to verify slope stability.
- ☐ k. \_\_\_\_\_

**8. Abutments/Toe:**

Erosion: ☐ Loose soil w/ little vegetation ☐ Rut (<6") ☐ Gully (>6" deep) ☒ Not Visible ☐ None Observed  
Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Cracks: ☐ Parallel with crest ☐ Perpendicular to crest ☐ Slide visible ☒ Not Visible ☐ None Observed  
Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Vegetation: ☐ None ☐ Low Ground Cover ☒ Bushes or Tall Grass ☒ Trees # few ☒ <6" ☒ >6" & <20" ☐ >20"  
Description: Dense vegetation made inspecting the toe area difficult

Seepage: Seep Spot Number 1  
☐ Green Vegetation ☐ Wet or Muddy Ground ☐ Ponding Water ☐ Not Visible ☒ None Observed  
☐ Flowing, Description: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Water Clarity: ☐ Clear ☐ Some particles ☐ Muddy ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Seep Spot Number 2  
☐ Green Vegetation ☐ Wet or Muddy Ground ☐ Ponding Water ☐ Not Visible ☐ None Observed  
☐ Flowing, Description: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Water Clarity: ☐ Clear ☐ Some particles ☐ Muddy ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Findings:**

- ☐ a. The abutments/toe were not inspected.
- ☐ b. The abutments/toe appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time.
- ☒ c. The abutments/toe appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
- ☐ d. The abutments/toe appeared to be in unsatisfactory condition and not expected to fulfill its intended function. Urgent corrective action is required.

**Corrective Actions:**

- ☐ e. Slope protection needs maintenance or repair. Description: \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ f. Rut and/or Gully erosion was observed, which requires maintenance and/or repair.  
Description: \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ g. A crack was observed along the abutments/near the toe, which requires further investigation to determine the underlining cause. Monitor the area and/or repair as required.
- ☒ h. The abutment/toe area was not visible due to high grass and bush vegetation. Clear high vegetation and maintain low to enable easy visual inspection.
- ☒ i. Tree(s) were observed along the abutment/toe. Trees have been identified as the probably cause of piping failures, and can possibly cause sever damage to the embankment if they are uprooted during a high winds. Corrective action is required to remove the tree hazards from the dam. Acceptable remedies include removal of the tree and its root structure down to a 2" diameter and reconstructing the damaged embankment section. All repair work shall be accomplished as per the requirements of licensed geotechnical or structural engineer. Routinely monitor the damaged area for signs of settlement and seepage.
- ☐ j. Seepage/Ponding water was observed. Monitor and conduct further investigation to locate the source of water and extent of any possible hazardous or developing condition.
- ☐ k. Seepage was observed flowing and particles were observed to be removed by the flow. Take immediate action to stop the loss of soil from the embankment. Conduct further investigation to determine the underlining cause and take corrective action. Monitor the area.
- ☐ l. \_\_\_\_\_

**9. Outlet Works:**

Culvert / Pipe

Type / Size: 42" ± diameter conduit

Culvert: ☐ Concrete ☐ Masonry ☐ unlined earth ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_Pipe: ☐ DIP ☐ Corrugated Metal ☐ PVC ☐ HDPE ☐ Concrete ☒ Other Riveted steel pipeControl Type: ☐ Gate ☒ Valve ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_Location: ☒ Control on Upstream side ☐ Control on Downstream sideSeepage: ☐ Green Vegetation ☐ Wet or Muddy Ground ☐ Ponding Water ☐ Not Visible ☒ None Observed☐ Flowing, Description: \_\_\_\_\_Water Clarity: ☐ Clear ☐ Some particles ☐ Muddy ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Findings:**

- ☐ a. The outlet works were not inspected.
- ☐ b. The outlet works were not tested.
- ☒ c. The outlet works appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time.
- ☐ d. The outlet works appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
- ☐ e. The outlet works appeared to be in unsatisfactory condition and not expected to fulfill its intended function. Urgent corrective action is required.

*The slide gate was tested by the dam operator*

**Corrective Actions:**

- ☐ f. Seepage/Ponding water was observed. Conduct further investigation to locate the source of water and extent of any possible hazardous or developing condition.
- ☐ g. Seepage was observed flowing and particles were observed to be removed by the flow. Take immediate action to stop the loss of soil. Conduct further investigation to determine the underlining cause and take corrective action. Monitor the area. Failures caused by seepage/piping along the outlet conduit are very common and are considered to be a dangerous situation.
- ☐ h. Were not visible due to high grass and bush vegetation. Clear high vegetation and maintain low to enable easy visual inspection.
- ☐ i. \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ j. \_\_\_\_\_

**10. Spillway:**

Type:

☐ None ☐ Culvert/Pipe ☒ ChannelDescription: Reservoir 74 is connected to Reservoir 73. Reservoir 74 uses the spillway, or Reservoir 73.  
channel between Reservoir 74 & 73

Dimension:

\_\_\_\_\_ ft. Invert elevation: \_\_\_\_\_ ft. per staff gage

Slope Protection:

☐ None ☐ Grass ☐ Dumped Rock ☐ Fitted Rip Rap ☐ Grouted Rip Rap ☐ Concrete☐ Defect in Protection: Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Approach:

☐ Clear ☐ High Veg. ☐ Trees ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Erosion:

☐ Scour ☐ Gully ☐ Headcut ☐ Not Observed ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Vegetation:

☐ None ☐ Low Ground Cover ☒ Bushes or Tall Grass ☐ Trees # \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ <6" ☐ >6" & <20" ☐ >20"

Description: Brush, tall grass, and debris in spillway channel between Reservoir 74 and 73.

**Findings:**

- ☐ a. The Spillway appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time.
- ☒ b. The Spillway appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
- ☐ c. The Spillway appeared to be in unsatisfactory condition and not expected to fulfill its intended function. Urgent corrective action is required.

**Corrective Actions:**

- ☐ d. Slope protection needs maintenance or repair. Description: \_\_\_\_\_
- ☒ e. The spillway approach was blocked. Clear approach. → clear channel between Reservoir 74 and 73
- ☐ f. Severe scour erosion was observed which requires maintenance and/or repair.  
Description: \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ g. A headcut (vertical drop in channel due to erosion) was observed downstream of the spillway. Corrective action is required to prevent this problem from moving upstream.
- ☐ h. Trees are unacceptable in the spillway channel and approach. Take corrective action to address the woody vegetation problem and repair the damaged area.
- ☐ i. Unclear if spillway is adequately sized. Spillway should pass the probable maximum flood. Verify spillway capacity and take corrective action as required.
- ☐ j. \_\_\_\_\_

**11. Down Stream Channel:**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Downstream:

☐ Sump ☐ Open Area ☐ Un-Defined Drainage-way ☐ Defined Drainage-way ☒ Other pipe

Items along Stream Bank:

☐ None ☐ Road ☐ Houses ☐ Town ☐ Not Inspected

Description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Findings:**

- ☒ a. The downstream channel was not inspected.
- ☐ b. The downstream channel appeared to be in satisfactory condition, no corrective actions are required at this time.
- ☐ c. The downstream channel appeared to be in fair to poor condition and requires corrective action.
- ☐ d. The downstream channel appeared to be in unsatisfactory condition and not expected to fulfill its intended function. Urgent corrective action is required.

**Corrective Actions:**

- ☐ e. \_\_\_\_\_

**Additional Comments:**

On the date of this limited visual inspection, there appeared to be no immediate threat to the safety of the dam. No assurance can be made regarding the dam's condition after this date. Subsequent adverse weather and other factors may affect the dam's condition.

FINDINGS

Conclusion: There is no immediate threat to the safety of the dam.

Short term Recommendation: Clear vegetation and debris out of the spillway channel between Reservoir 73 and 74.

Long term Recommendations:

1) The upstream and downstream slopes should be clear and visible for inspection. Underbrush and trees should be removed and grasses kept short. Large trees are growing on the slopes. Large trees and the stumps should be removed from the embankment.

2) The downstream slope of the dam is very steep (1V:1.5H). Stability of the slope should be further evaluated.

**Limitations and Intent of this Dam Safety Inspection:**

This Dam Safety Inspection was conducted to assess the general overall condition of the reservoir/dam, identify visible deficiencies, and recommend areas of for monitoring, additional investigative studies and corrective actions. The inspection is based only on visible features/areas of the dam on the day of inspection. This inspection is not a formal phase I or phase II dam safety inspection and does not include a review or evaluation from each specialist of an inspection team, such as a geologists, civil, geotechnical, structural, or hydraulics engineer. The owner should verify the findings of this report and take corrective actions. The owner may submit to the State alternative corrective actions that are certified by a licensed professional engineer in the State of Hawaii experienced in the design and construction of dams. This inspection does not relieve the owner/operator from their responsibility to conduct routine inspections, maintenance, repairs, modifications, monitoring, documentation, and/or investigative studies. The inspection was conducted under the authority of the Hawaii Revised Statutes Chapter 179D, and Hawaii Administrative Rules, Title 13, Chapter 190, titled "Dams and Reservoirs". Questions regarding this inspection should be forwarded to the Hawaii State Dam Safety Program; PO Box 373; Honolulu, Hawaii 96809; Ph. (808) 587-0236.